

Juvenile Risk Intervention Services Coordination Summary 2008-2010

At the end of 2007, the Juvenile Intensive Supervision Program (JISP) was defunded, based on poor performance and scope being limited to only post-adjudicated Juvenile Delinquent cases, and in 2008 an approach called **Juvenile Risk Intervention Services Coordination (JRISC)** was begun by the Office of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

The goals of JRISC were to

- 1) Manage current offenders and address their risk and need areas through evidence based intervention programs, and
- 2) To measurably reduce recidivism among **high risk PINS and/or JD youth at either the diversion or probation supervision stage**. By increasing probation's ability to access interventions that have been demonstrated in research to be effective with the high risk juvenile probation population, probation can reduce future delinquency and crime, detention, placement, and incarceration.

Seven counties were chosen through a competitive process and five year contracts were developed. The first year was focused on counties choosing and contracting with an evidence-based intervention and receiving intensive training for both probation and clinicians, as required by the evidence-based program, as indicated below:

<u>County</u>	<u>Evidence Based Intervention</u>
1. Dutchess	Functional Family Therapy (FFT)
2. Monroe	FFT and Multi-systemic Therapy (MST)
3. Niagara	Brief Strategic Family Therapy (BSFT) and MST
4. Onondaga	MST
5. Orange	Strengthening Families (SF) and Aggression Replacement Therapy (ART)
6. Oswego	FFT and Adolescent Transitions Program (ATP)
7. Schenectady	FFT and ART

JRISC 2008

In the first year of operation, funding was \$1.68 million (\$1.2 million in current JISP Aid to Localities funding and the remainder from unspent prior year JISP funds). Once local subcontracts were executed and training completed toward the end of year one in 2008, counties began identifying high risk PINS and JD youth for referral to the JRISC program using YASI to determine those cases deemed high risk. In 2008, 187 high risk youth entered JRISC, 30 completed the program within that calendar year, and 21 of those cases closed successfully with measurable reductions in their risk of recidivism.

JRISC 2009

The 2009 allocation for JRISC was reduced to \$1.049 million. In 2009, during year two of the program, 444 high risk youth entered JRISC, 204 youth completed within that calendar year, and 133 of those cases closed successfully with measurable reductions in their risk of recidivism.

JRISC 2010

The 2010 allocation for JRISC was reduced a second time to \$944,000. In 2010, year three of the program, 296 high risk youth entered JRISC, 202 completed within the calendar year, and 155 of those cases closed successfully with measurable reductions in their risk of recidivism.

JRISC by the numbers:

	2008	2009	2010
Funding Level	\$1.68 million	\$1.049	\$944,000
JRISC case referrals	187	444	296
Successful Completions by end of that year	30	204	202
Successful completions with measurable reduction in risk level	21	133	155

JRISC 2010 Summary

	<u>Milestone 1</u> Number of youth entering JRISC and EBP	<u>Milestone 2</u> Number of youth retained in EBP	<u>Milestone 3</u> Number of youth completing EBP	<u>Milestone 4</u> Number of youth with reduced risk level upon completion of EBP	<u>Rate of completion</u> (#of youth completing EBP in 2010 divided by # of youth entering)	<u>Rate of reduced risk level</u> (# of youth completing with reduced risk divided by # of youth completing EBP)
1. Dutchess County	Goal: 50 Actual: 48	Goal: 40 Actual: 46	Goal: 38 Actual: 26	Goal: 25 Actual: 21	54%	81%
2. Monroe County	Goal: 30 Actual: 21	Goal: 27 Actual: 15	Goal: 24 Actual: 14	Goal: 20 Actual: 11	67%	79%
3. Niagara County	Goal: 33 Actual: 51	Goal: 28 Actual: 42	Goal: 21 Actual: 23	Goal: 19 Actual: 20	45%	87%
4. Onondaga County	Goal: 33 Actual: 34	Goal: 25 Actual: 47	Goal: 23 Actual: 29	Goal: 20 Actual: 22	85%	76%
5. Orange County	Goal: 27 Actual: 29	Goal: 22 Actual: 17	Goal: 18 Actual: 16	Goal: 13 Actual: 16	55%	100%
6. Oswego County	Goal: 60 Actual: 59	Goal: 50 Actual: 73	Goal: 45 Actual: 55	Goal: 35 Actual: 36	93%	65%
7. Schenectady County	Goal: 75 Actual: 54	Goal: 65 Actual: 73	Goal: 54 Actual: 39	Goal: 44 Actual: 29	72%	74%
Totals	Goal: 308 Actual: 296	Goal: 257 Actual: 313	Goal: 223 Actual: 202	Goal: 176 Actual: 155	Average: 67%	Average: 80%